

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,676		03/01/2002	Charles M. Brown	60137-046	6404	
26096	7590	08/12/2004		EXAMINER		
CARLSO! 400 WEST	•	EY & OLDS, P.C.		STAICOVICI, STEFAN		
SUITE 350				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMING	HAM, MI	48009		1732		
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\sim 0$				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/087,676	BROWN, CHARLES M.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stefan Staicovici	1732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-30 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 31-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
_	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachment		<u></u>					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 💹 Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/02; 7/18/03.	r—	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/087,676

Art Unit: 1732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on April 22, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly claimed subject matter in claim 31 regarding an "off-circuit for repairing" and in claim 34 of a sour do not appear to have support in the original disclosure.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-8, 15-16, 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Lacovara (April 2000).

Lacovara (April 2000) teaches the claimed process including, providing a plurality of molds traveling onto a conveyor system (closed circuit) that cycle through a gel coat application area, transporting said coated molds to a spray-up area where a laminate is applied in two steps using an atomized resin spray, wherein the initial ply cures before the second layer is applied, removing said formed laminate from the molds and recycling the empty molds into the gel application area to repeat the process (closed circuit is required in order to recycle the process steps). It is submitted that when a plurality of molds travel on a closed circuit queuing occurs. Since the spraying operation is performed in two steps with a curing in between, it is submitted that a first and a second queue is required in order to obtain said laminate in two spraying steps.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,676

Art Unit: 1732

7. Claims 9-14, 17 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Lacovara (April 2000) in view of Larsen (US Patent No. 5,069,267).

Lacovara (April 2000) teaches the basic claimed process as described above.

Regarding claims 9-14, 17 and 22-25 Lacovara (April 2000) does not teach moving part

of the molds onto a separate line. Larsen ('267) teaches that in an automatic foundry plant where

a plurality of molds are moved onto a closed circuit it is preferable to allow a part of the molds to

move to another line that is split directly from the main circuit in order to permit the material to

cool and solidify, whereas other molds are being introduced into the main line to processed (see

col. 5, lines 25-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to

have provided a split line to allow a part of the molds to move to said split line directly from the

main circuit as taught by Larsen ('267) in the process of Lacovara (April 2000) because, Larsen

('267) teaches that such a split line allows for cooling of a number of molds while introducing

other molds for processing, hence increasing productivity and also because the process of

Lacovara (April 2000) requires a curing step which is similar to the cooling step in the process of

Larsen ('267).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,676

Art Unit: 1732

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Stefan Staicovici, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stefan Staicovici, PhD

Daicourei 2/24/24

Primary Examiner